APPENDIX A

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON
IN AND FOR SNOHOMISH COUNTY

[Filed Nov. 10, 2004]

Case No.: 04-2-11104-6

STEVEN MILTON GRAY, *et al*,
Plaintiffs,

vs.

QUALITY LOAN SERVICE, *et al*,
Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS QUALITY and FIRST HORIZON'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND RELEASING LIS PENDENS

THIS MATTER having come on for hearing before the undersigned, upon the motion of the defendants Quality Loan Service and First Horizon Home Loan Corporation for an order for summary judgment in this matter and releasing the Lis Pendens recorded by Plaintiffs in this matter;

The Court having examined the file, considering the moving and opposition papers, arguments of counsel and the following specific documents:

1. Defendants Brief in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment;
2. Request for Judicial Notice of Title Documents;
3. Declaration of Ed Hyne in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment;
4. Plaintiffs' Affidavit of Disputed Material Issues of Fact;

5. Plaintiff's Reply to Motion for Summary Judgment;

6. Defendants' Reply to Plaintiffs' Affidavit of Material Issues of Facts;

7. Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law; and

8. Defendants' Reply to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law.

9. Response to Defendant's reply, filed 11-8-04,

10. Affidavit of Steven Milton: Gray filed 11-8-04.

1. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendants Quality Loan Service and First Horizon Home Loan Corporation's motion for summary judgment is hereby granted;

2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiffs Complaint against defendants Quality Loan Service and First Horizon Home Loan Corporation is hereby dismissed with prejudice;

3. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiffs' Lis Pendens recorded under Snohomish County Auditor's File No. 200407160407 on July 16, 2004 is hereby released since the underlying litigation is dismissed with prejudice; and

4. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendants Quality and Litton may proceed with a foreclosure sale.

DONE IN OPEN COURT: November 10, 2004.

/s/ Judge Dwyer
JUDGE DWYER

Presented by:
McCarthy & Holthus, LLP

/s/ Katrina E. Glogowski
KATRINA E. GLOGOWSKI, WSBA # 27483
Attorneys for Defendants Quality and First Horizon

APPENDIX B

THE SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON

[Filed Mar. 31, 2005]

NO. 76316-0

STEVEN MILTON GRAY, Director of Northwest Housing Trust and InHimm Services and Ministries, Washington Corporation Sole,

Petitioner,

v.

QUALITY LOAN SERVICES CORPORATION, a foreign corporation; FIRST HORIZON HOME LOAN CORPORATION, a foreign corporation; WILSHIRE CREDIT CORPORATION, a foreign corporation,

Respondents.

RULING DENYING MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT

Steven Milton Gray, apparently representing himself and purportedly also on behalf of "Northwest Housing Trust" and "InHimm Services and Ministries," has appealed to this court from a summary judgment entered by the Snohomish County Superior Court. In a statement of arrangements filed December 30, 2004, Mr. Gray said that he had ordered a verbatim report of proceedings for a superior court hearing held October 19, 2004, and also said that no record was kept of any other proceedings. He did not then suggest any intent to attempt to prepare or submit any narrative or agreed report of proceedings (see RAP 9.3 and 9.4) of any superior court hearing other than the October 19 hearing.[1]

---

[1] The verbatim report of the October 19 hearing has since been received by this court.

On January 6, 2005, Mr. Gray filed an "amended" statement of arrangements, purporting to order verbatim reports of superior court proceedings held on July 22, 2004, August 19, 2004, and October 4, 2004. He seems to have known, however, that no such reports could be produced. And he still did not suggest any intent to rely upon narrative or agreed reports.

On February 17, 2005, Mr. Gray filed what he titled a "Motion to Supplement the Record of Proceedings," in which he asks "for time to supplement the record by preparation and filing of narrative reports of proceedings for the trial court hearings where no record of the proceedings was made." Mr. Gray does not say why he needs more time, or how much time he thinks he needs. Nor is it at all clear how the various other superior court proceedings, whatever their nature, might relate to this appeal.

Under RAP 9.5(a), Mr. Gray had 60 days after filing his statement of arrangements to file either a narrative or an agreed report of proceedings. Without explanation he did not do so, nor does it appear that he has even yet attempted to do so. Without some demonstration that additional record might be necessary to fairly decide this appeal, and some indication when that additional record might be forthcoming, I see no basis to justify the delay that Mr. Gray requests.

The motion to supplement the record of proceedings is denied.

/s/ Geoffrey Crooks
Commissioner

March 31, 2005